



BRIEF ANALYSIS

No. 495

For immediate release:

Wednesday, December 1, 2004

Retirement Savings Reforms on which the Left and the Right Can Agree

by John C. Goodman and Peter R. Orszag

As the baby boomers near retirement, defects in the nation's private pension system are becoming obvious. Only about half of workers contribute to an employer-sponsored pension plan in any given year, and Individual Retirement Account (IRA) participation rates are substantially lower. Among workers with tax-preferred retirement saving plans, few make the maximum allowable contribution. And despite the many private savings incentives, many households approach retirement with meager funds.

The Evolution of Private Pensions. Over the past 25 years, defined contribution pension plans — such as 401(k)s — have taken the nation by storm. Created by the Revenue Act of 1978, 401(k) plans are well suited for workers who change jobs frequently or experience gaps in employment. Employers often match employees' contributions, and the funds that accumulate in the accounts are the employees' property.

Workers are responsible for choosing among 401(k) investment options. The average 401(k) plan offers employees nine actively managed investment choices, according to the Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI), although some offer unlimited choices.

Today more than 42 million workers participate in defined contribution plans, with total assets exceeding \$2 trillion. In 2001, however, the median

balance in such accounts among all households headed by 55- to 59-year olds was only about \$10,000.

According to a Congressional Budget Office review, the participation rate of higher income workers in tax-deferred plans is much greater than lower income workers [see the figure]:

- Only 22 percent of workers with incomes of less than \$20,000 participate in tax-deferred plans.
- By contrast, the participation rate is 56 percent for workers between \$20,000 and \$40,000, and

70 percent for workers between \$40,000 and \$80,000.


■ Almost 80 percent of workers with incomes of more than \$80,000 participate.

Retirement Savings Mistakes. People often make mistakes when it comes to investing for retirement: Most Americans don't save enough, and even those who do save typically invest in inappropriate portfolios.

Failing to Save. About a quarter of workers who are offered a 401(k) plan at work don't participate in it. Those who do participate rarely make the maximum contribution: Well under 10 percent of participants

contribute as much as is allowed by law. The result is that many households fail to accumulate adequate retirement savings.

Making Poor Investment Choices. Last year, thousands of Enron employees lost most of their retirement savings when the company stock price fell dramatically. Enron's case is not unique. A Hewitt Associates survey of 375 companies that offer 401(k) plans found that 55 percent of employers offer their own stock as an investment choice. Some 30 percent of plan assets were invested in employer stock. As the Enron example demon-



BRIEF ANALYSIS

No.495

Page 2

strates, putting all of one's eggs in one financial basket is risky. And if the basket is the worker's company, these risks are magnified, since bankruptcy would endanger both the worker's retirement account and his job.

In general, workers who invest their retirement funds in their own firm (though comfortably familiar to them) take an undue risk. Many employees also invest in overly conservative assets, which are safe but pay a low expected rate of return. Lower income workers are particularly prone to opt for this alternative. In fact, history shows that employees in the lowest income quintile have typically invested almost two-thirds of their account balances in lower-earning money market or bond funds.

Improving the System. Workers should be encouraged to invest in long-range savings plans that will create adequate retirement income. We recommend changes that would not be compulsory for either employers or employees. Instead, we propose legal and regulatory incentives to plan sponsors in return for behavior that will produce a more secure and more prosperous retirement for millions of workers: automatic enrollment, an adequate minimum contribution rate, escalating contribution rates and default investments in broadly diversified equity and bond index funds.

One of the most significant reforms policymakers could adopt to boost voluntary retirement saving would be to change the "defaults" in 401(k) plans in favor of sound retirement preparation. Evidence strongly suggests that when workers are automatically enrolled in a 401(k), participation rates are significantly higher. Yet most employers require employees to specifically opt in. Savings rates are also higher when 401(k)s commit workers to saving part of their future pay raises, rather than trying to encourage them to save at a higher rate immediately.

To encourage the adoption of plans with features proven to be effective in helping workers better prepare for retirement, policymakers should:

- Clarify that retirement savings plans with these features are permissible under state labor laws.

- Offer pension plans meeting the requirements outlined here targeted relief from class action complaints and special treatment under the non-discrimination standards — detailed regulations that require plan sponsors to balance both employee participation and benefit amounts in order to distribute benefits proportionately among high and low-wage workers; our plan would provide sponsors of properly designed plans more generous treatment under these rules than other plans.

- Require that, at least as a default, when employment ends, employees' 401(k) accounts are automatically rolled over into another qualified plan or into an IRA, or remain in the previous employer's plan, rather than requiring a terminated employee to take a potentially taxable (and penalized) distribution.

- Allow plans to disburse small account balances without penalty to employees who decide to opt out soon after the automatic enrollment begins.

We also agree that, consistent with a proposal in the president's Fiscal Year 2005 budget, taxpayers who choose to direct deposit their income tax refund should be allowed to split the refund between accounts. The IRS currently does not allow refunds to be split. This significantly reduces the portion of tax refunds that are saved, since many families are reluctant to deposit their entire refund in a tax-preferred savings account. This proposal would not require additional legislation.

American workers need savings plans that will adequately fund their retirement. We recommend changes in the current system that provide flexibility, versatility and expanded investment opportunities. These are keys to encouraging workers at all income levels to invest in their future retirement, today.

John C. Goodman is president of the National Center for Policy Analysis and Peter Orszag is Senior Fellow, Economic Studies at the Brookings Institution, and Director of the Retirement Security Project. The authors thank Matt Moore, William Gale and Mark Iwry for their comments and assistance.

Note: Nothing written here should be construed as necessarily reflecting the views of the National Center for Policy Analysis or as an attempt to aid or hinder the passage of any legislation.

The NCPA is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit public policy organization. We depend entirely on the financial support of individuals, corporations and foundations that believe in private sector solutions to public policy problems. You can contribute to our effort by mailing your donation to our Dallas headquarters or logging on to our Web site at www.ncpa.org and clicking "An Invitation to Support Us."